

PROGRAM EVALUATION
Sarasota 16 Jan 2014

Attendance: 501
 Survey Responses: 73
 Response Rate: 14.6%

Ms. Terry Savage
The Economics of an Aging Population

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

Question	Total Responses	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		Numerical Rating	% Rating
		%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
#1	73	4.1	3	4.1	3	2.7	2	20.5	15	68.5	50	4.45	89.04
#2	72	12.5	9	4.1	3	12.5	9	19.4	14	51.4	37	3.93	78.59
#3	73	6.8	5	2.7	2	9.6	7	19.2	14	61.6	45	4.26	85.21
#4	57	8.8	5	1.8	1	17.5	10	19.3	11	52.6	30	4.05	81.05
#5	72	23.6	17	1.4	1	4.2	3	19.4	14	51.4	37	3.74	74.72

Overall Numerical Rating: 4.09
 (Average of all five "numerical ratings" in next to last column)

Numerical Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.12
Percentage Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 82.50%

Overall Percentage Rating: 81.7%

Numerical Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.02
Percentage Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 80.33%

- Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest.
- Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series.
- Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively.
- Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively.
- Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years.

- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5

PROGRAM EVALUATION
Venice 17 Jan 2014

Attendance: 360
 Survey Responses: 73
 Response Rate: 14.6%

Ms. Terry Savage
The Economics of an Aging Population

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

Question	Total Responses	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		Numerical Rating	% Rating
		%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
#1	23	4.3	1	0.0	0	13.0	3	4.3	1	78.3	18	4.52	90.43
#2	23	8.7	2	0.0	0	4.3	1	4.3	1	82.6	19	4.52	90.43
#3	24	25.0	6	0.0	0	0.0	0	12.5	3	62.5	15	3.88	77.50
#4	23	26.1	6	0.0	0	8.7	2	8.7	2	56.5	13	3.70	73.91
#5	26	42.3	11	7.7	2	0.0	0	0.0	0	50.0	13	3.08	61.54

Overall Numerical Rating: 3.94
 (Average of all five "numerical ratings" in next to last column)

Numerical Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 3.79
Percentage Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 75.84%

Overall Percentage Rating: 78.8%

Numerical Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 3.55
Percentage Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 70.98%

- Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest.
- Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series.
- Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively.
- Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively.
- Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years.

- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5

NOTE: Two respondents answered only Question 5.

PROGRAM EVALUATION
Venice 24 Jan 2014

Attendance: 268
 Survey Responses: 76
 Response Rate: 28.4%

Dr. Troyan Brennan
Prospects for the Next Health Care Reform 2030

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

Question	Total Responses	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		Numerical Rating	% Rating
		%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
#1	76	2.6	2	0.0	0	1.3	1	3.9	3	92.1	70	4.83	96.58
#2	75	1.3	1	1.3	1	9.3	7	12.0	9	76.0	57	4.60	91.99
#3	75	1.3	1	1.3	1	2.7	2	17.3	13	77.3	58	4.68	93.60
#4	74	1.4	1	0.0	0	6.8	5	12.2	9	79.7	59	4.69	93.78
#5	74	1.4	1	1.4	1	6.8	5	8.1	6	82.4	61	4.69	93.78

Overall Numerical Rating: 4.70
 (Average of all five "numerical ratings" in next to last column)

Numerical Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.72
Percentage Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 94.44%

Overall Percentage Rating: 93.9%

Numerical Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.69
Percentage Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 93.72%

- Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest.
- Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series.
- Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively.
- Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively.
- Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years.

- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5

PROGRAM EVALUATION
Venice 04 Feb 2014

Attendance: 236
 Survey Responses: 50
 Response Rate: 21.2%

Dr. S. Enders Wimbush
Central Asia: From Obscurity to Center Stage

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

Question	Total Responses	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		Numerical Rating	% Rating
		%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
#1	50	0.0	0	0.0	0	4.0	2	18.0	9	78.0	39	4.74	94.80
#2	50	0.0	0	0.0	0	4.0	2	22.0	11	74.0	37	4.70	94.00
#3	50	0.0	0	2.0	1	6.0	3	4.0	2	88.0	44	4.78	95.60
#4	50	0.0	0	0.0	0	2.0	1	6.0	3	92.0	46	4.90	98.00
#5	50	0.0	0	0.0	0	6.0	3	8.0	4	86.0	43	4.80	96.00

Overall Numerical Rating: 4.78
 (Average of all five "numerical ratings" in next to last column)

Numerical Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.80
Percentage Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 96.10%

Overall Percentage Rating: 95.7%

Numerical Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.83
Percentage Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 96.53%

- Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest.
- Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series.
- Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively.
- Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively.
- Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years.

- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5

NOTE: Two respondents answered only Question 5.

PROGRAM EVALUATION
Sarasota 05 Feb 2014

Attendance: 544
 Survey Responses: 45
 Response Rate: 8.1%

Dr. S. Enders Wimbush
Could Russia Fail?

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

Question	Total Responses	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		Numerical Rating	% Rating
		%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
#1	45	0.0	0	0.0	0	8.9	4	17.8	8	73.3	33	4.64	92.89
#2	45	4.4	2	6.7	3	13.3	6	20.0	9	55.6	25	4.16	83.11
#3	45	2.2	1	2.2	1	11.1	5	24.4	11	60.0	27	4.38	87.56
#4	43	7.0	3	7.0	3	7.0	3	18.6	8	60.5	26	4.19	83.72
#5	44	4.5	2	11.4	5	9.1	4	22.7	10	52.3	23	4.07	81.36

Overall Numerical Rating: 4.29
 (Average of all five "numerical ratings" in next to last column)

Numerical Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.32
Percentage Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 86.38%

Overall Percentage Rating: 85.7%

Numerical Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 3.16
Percentage Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 84.20%

- Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest.
- Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series.
- Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively.
- Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively.
- Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years.

- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5

PROGRAM EVALUATION Sarasota 14 Feb 2014

Attendance: 433
Survey Responses: 87
Response Rate: 20.1%

Dr. Marilyn Moon The Promise and Prospects for Reforming the Delivery of Health Care

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

Question	Total Responses	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		Numerical Rating	% Rating
		%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
#1	86	0.0	0	1.2	1	3.5	3	5.8	5	89.5	77	4.84	96.74
#2	86	1.2	1	2.3	2	4.7	4	23.3	20	68.6	59	4.56	91.16
#3	86	1.2	1	2.3	2	4.7	4	23.3	20	68.6	59	4.56	91.16
#4	79	1.3	1	2.5	2	0.0	0	16.5	13	79.7	63	4.71	94.18
#5	87	2.3	2	5.7	5	9.2	8	16.1	14	66.7	58	4.39	87.82

Overall Numerical Rating: 4.61
(Average of all five "numerical ratings" in next to last column)

Numerical Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.62
Percentage Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 92.48%

Overall Percentage Rating: 92.2%

Numerical Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.55
Percentage Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 91.05%

- Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest.
- Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series.
- Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively.
- Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively.
- Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years.

- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5

PROGRAM EVALUATION

Sarasota 26 Feb 2014

Attendance: 412
 Survey Responses: 67
 Response Rate: 16.3%

Amb. James D. McGee
Problems, the Promise and the Future of Sub-Saharan Africa

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

Question	Total Responses	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		Numerical Rating	% Rating
		%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
#1	67	0.0	0	0.0	0	4.5	3	17.9	12	77.6	52	4.73	94.63
#2	66	3.0	2	9.0	6	9.1	6	13.6	9	65.2	43	4.29	85.70
#3	67	0.0	0	3.0	2	6.0	4	22.4	15	68.7	46	4.57	91.34
#4	55	0.0	0	0.0	0	10.9	6	12.7	7	76.4	42	4.65	93.09
#5	64	3.1	2	4.7	3	10.9	7	15.6	10	65.6	42	4.36	87.19

Overall Numerical Rating: 4.52
 (Average of all five "numerical ratings" in next to last column)

Numerical Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.58
Percentage Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 91.46%

Overall Percentage Rating: 90.4%

Numerical Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.53
Percentage Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 90.54%

- Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest.
- Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series.
- Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively.
- Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively.
- Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years.

- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5

PROGRAM EVALUATION
Sarasota 27 Feb 2014

Attendance: 487
 Survey Responses: 68
 Response Rate: 14.0%

Dr. Alam Payind
The Tortured Past and Present of Afghanistan, Often Aggravated by Super Powers

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

Question	Total Responses	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		Numerical Rating	% Rating
		%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
#1	68	2.9	2	1.5	1	8.8	6	17.6	12	69.1	47	4.49	89.71
#2	67	4.5	3	7.4	5	19.4	13	19.4	13	49.3	33	4.01	80.25
#3	67	19.4	13	19.4	13	37.3	25	13.4	9	10.4	7	2.76	55.22
#4	55	10.9	6	5.5	3	18.2	10	34.5	19	30.9	17	3.69	73.82
#5	64	37.5	24	12.5	8	17.2	11	15.6	10	17.2	11	2.63	52.50

Overall Numerical Rating: 3.52
 (Average of all five "numerical ratings" in next to last column)

Numerical Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 3.39
Percentage Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 67.81%

Overall Percentage Rating: 70.3%

Numerical Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 3.03
Percentage Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 60.51%

- Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest.
- Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series.
- Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively.
- Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively.
- Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years.

- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5

PROGRAM EVALUATION
Venice 07 Mar 2014

Attendance: 316
 Survey Responses: 58
 Response Rate: 18.4%

Dr. Richard Samuels
Japan's Grand Strategy

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

Question	Total Responses	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		Numerical Rating	% Rating
		%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
#1	58	1.7	1	0.0	0	8.6	5	13.8	8	75.9	44	4.62	92.41
#2	58	1.7	1	3.4	2	17.2	10	22.4	13	55.2	32	4.26	85.17
#3	58	3.4	2	0.0	0	12.1	7	19.0	11	65.5	38	4.43	88.62
#4	57	0.0	0	3.5	2	3.5	2	21.1	12	71.9	41	4.61	92.28
#5	58	3.4	2	5.2	3	10.3	6	20.7	12	60.3	35	4.29	85.86

Overall Numerical Rating: 4.44
 (Average of all five "numerical ratings" in next to last column)

Numerical Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.66
Percentage Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 89.79%

Overall Percentage Rating: 88.9%

Numerical Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.44
Percentage Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 88.92%

- Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest.
- Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series.
- Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively.
- Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively.
- Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years.

- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5

PROGRAM EVALUATION Sarasota - 18 March, 2014

Attendance: 357
Survey Responses: 69
Response Rate: 19.3%

Hedrick Smith Who Stole the American Dream?

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

Question	Total Responses	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		Numerical Rating	% Rating
		%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
#1	69	0.0	0	0.0	0	1.4	1	2.9	2	95.7	66	4.94	98.84
#2	69	4.3	3	0.0	0	1.4	1	7.2	5	87.0	60	4.72	94.49
#3	69	1.4	1	0.0	0	0.0	0	4.3	3	94.2	65	4.90	97.97
#4	69	1.4	1	0.0	0	0.0	0	2.9	2	95.7	66	4.91	98.26
#5	69	1.4	1	0.0	0	0.0	0	4.3	3	94.2	65	4.90	97.97

Overall Numerical Rating: 4.88
(Average of all five "numerical ratings" in next to last column)

Numerical Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.91
Percentage Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 98.26%

Overall Percentage Rating: 97.5%

Numerical Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.90
Percentage Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 98.07%

- Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest.
- Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series.
- Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively.
- Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively.
- Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years.

- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5

PROGRAM EVALUATION Sarasota - 20 March, 2014

Attendance: 474
Survey Responses: 46
Response Rate: 9.7%

Mickey Edwards The Parties Versus the People: How to Turn Republicans and Democrats Into Americans

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

Question	Total Responses	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		Numerical Rating	% Rating
		%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
#1	46	0.0	0	0.0	0	4.3	2	4.3	2	91.3	42	4.87	97.39
#2	45	0.0	0	2.2	1	8.9	4	24.4	11	64.4	29	4.51	90.20
#3	45	2.2	1	2.2	1	2.2	1	8.9	4	84.4	38	4.71	94.22
#4	42	0.0	0	2.4	1	2.4	1	14.3	6	81.0	34	4.74	94.76
#5	45	2.2	1	2.2	1	6.7	3	8.9	4	80.0	36	4.62	92.44

Overall Numerical Rating: 4.69
(Average of all five "numerical ratings" in next to last column)

Numerical Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.74
Percentage Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 94.70%

Overall Percentage Rating: 93.8%

Numerical Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.69
Percentage Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 93.81%

- Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest.
- Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series.
- Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively.
- Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively.
- Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years.

- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5